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Evaluation Overview

A PRE™ screener conducted a literature review for this plant (*Ulmus pumila*) in an effort to understand the invasive history, reproductive strategies, and the impact, if any, on the region's native plants and animals. This research reflects the data available at the time this evaluation was conducted.

Summary

In areas with sufficient moisture and favorable soil conditions, Siberian Elm is unable to compete with the taller and more shade tolerant tree species, except as a pioneer tree in disturbed areas. (adapted from eol.com) It is true that most references describe *Ulmus pumila* as aggressively invading disturbed sites (as opposed to establishing in natural sites). This is somewhat in opposition to the notion that it forms thickets and displaces native vegetation. This may only be true of disturbed sites where eventually this relatively weak tree will give way to stronger native species. These characteristics lend to making this tree one to watch in the Minnesota region.

General Information

**Status:** Completed  
**Screener:** Mike Monterusso  
**Evaluation Date:** August 30, 2017

Plant Information

**Plant:** *Ulmus pumila*

Regional Information

**Region Name:** Minnesota
Climate Matching Map

To answer four of the PRE questions for a regional evaluation, a climate map with three climate data layers (Precipitation, UN EcoZones, and Plant Hardiness) is needed. These maps were built using a toolkit created in collaboration with GreenInfo Network, USDA, PlantRight, California-Invasive Plant Council, and The Information Center for the Environment at UC Davis.

Click [here](#) to see the generated climate matching map for this region. This climate match database is hosted by GreenInfo Network and publicly accessible.
Evaluation Questions

These questions are based in an original article published at the University of California, Davis, and can be found on the PLOS One website, here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121053

Invasive History and Climate Matching (Questions 1 - 6)

1. Has the species (or cultivar or variety, if applicable; applies to subsequent "species" questions) become naturalized where it is not native?

   • Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 points to the total PRE score.
   • The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

   Answer / Justification:

   Ulmus pumila has naturalized in almost every US state.

   Reference(s):

   • United States Department of Agriculture (2017). Plants Profile for Ulmus pumila (Siberian elm).

2. Is the species (or cultivar or variety) noted as being naturalized in the US or world in a similar climate?

   • Answer: Yes, which contributes 2 points to the total PRE score.
   • The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

   Answer / Justification:

   It has naturalized in Wisconsin and Minnesota.

   Reference(s):

   • United States Department of Agriculture (2017). Plants Profile for Ulmus pumila (Siberian elm).
3. Is the species (or cultivar or variety) noted as being invasive in the U.S. or world?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 2 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"Ulmus pumila invades pastures, road-sides and prairies throughout the Midwest and Great Plains regions of the United States."

Reference(s):

- The University of Georgia Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health (2010). Siberian elm, Ulmus pumila N/A Urticales: Ulmaceae.

4. Is the species (or cultivar or variety) noted as being invasive in the US or world in a similar climate?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 3 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

It is restricted in Wisconsin.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous].

5. Are other species of the same genus (or closely related genera) invasive in a similar climate?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.
Answer / Justification:

No evidence found.

Reference(s):

• [Anonymous].

6. **Is the species (or cultivar or variety) found predominately in a climate matching the region of concern?**

   • Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
   • The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Impact on Native Plants and Animals (Questions 7 - 10)

7. **Does this plant displace native plants and dominate (overtop or smother) the plant community in areas where it has established?**

   • Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 points to the total PRE score.
   • The screener has a High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

"It forms dense thickets that close open areas and displace native vegetation, thereby reducing forage for wild animals and livestock."
8. Is the plant noted as promoting fire and/or changing fire regimes?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

No evidence found.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous] .

9. Is the plant a health risk to humans or animals/fish? Has the species been noted as impacting grazing systems?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

No evidence found.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous] .
10. Does the plant produce impenetrable thickets, blocking or slowing movement of animals, livestock, or humans?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"It forms dense thickets that close open areas and displace native vegetation, thereby reducing forage for wild animals and livestock."

Reference(s):

- The University of Georgia Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health (2010). Siberian elm, Ulmus pumila N/A Urticales: Ulmaceae.

Reproductive Strategies (Questions 11 - 17)

11. Does this species (or cultivar or variety) reproduce and spread vegetatively?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

No evidence found.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous].
12. If naturally detached fragments from this plant are capable of producing new plants, is this a common method of reproduction for the plant?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

No evidence found.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous].

13. Does the species (or cultivar or variety) commonly produce viable seed?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"Thickets of seedlings soon form around seed - producing trees, bare ground areas, animal and insect mounds, and other disturbed areas."

Reference(s):


14. Does this plant produce copious viable seeds each year (> 1000)?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.
Answer / Justification:

"Ulmus pumila produces huge quantities of wind-dispersed seeds and the seedlings can build dense stands." While "huge quantities" is by no means an exact figure, the personal experience of this screener suggests that the number of seeds produced by a mature tree could easily be in the 1000s.

Reference(s):


15. Is there significant germination (>25%) of seeds the next growing season, with no requirement of an infrequent environmental condition for seeds to germinate (i.e. fire) or long dormancy period?

- Answer: Yes, which contributes 1 point to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Very High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"U. pumila seeds may present a relatively high longevity, as Dulamsuren et al. found seeds from the previous growing season retaining 92% of germinability."

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous] .

16. Does this plant produce viable seed within the first three years (for an herbaceous species) to five years (for a woody species) after germination?

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous] .
17. Does this plant continuously produce seed for >3 months each year or does seed production occur more than once a year?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a High confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"Species is monoecious; small, pale green clusters occurring in early spring before leaves. Fruit: ... turning light brown when ripe in spring."

Reference(s):


Dispersal (Questions 18 - 20)

18. Are the plant’s propagules frequently dispersed long distance (>100 m) by mammals or birds or via domestic animals?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

No evidence of frequent dispersal by birds or mammals could be found.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous].
19. Are the plant’s propagules frequently dispersed long distance (>100 m) by wind or water?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

While the seeds are commonly referred to as being wind-dispersed, they are winged and thus similar to samaras, which do not disperse >100m.

Reference(s):

- [Anonymous].

20. Are the plant’s propagules frequently dispersed via contaminated seed (agriculture or wildflower packets), equipment, vehicles, boats or clothing/shoes?

- Answer: No, which contributes 0 points to the total PRE score.
- The screener has a Medium confidence in this answer based on the available literature.

Answer / Justification:

"Seed may be carried long distances by adhering to surfaces and undercarriages of logging equipment and vehicles." While the above supports that this vector of dispersal is possible, there is no evidence that this is a frequent method.

Reference(s):

- US Forest Service (2012). Region 3 - Invasive Species.
Total PRE Score

PRE Score: 13 -- Evaluate this plant further
Confidence: 75 / 100
Questions answered: 19 of 20 -- Valid (80% or more questions answered)

PRE Score Legend

The PRE Score is calculated by adding the point totals for each (answered) question.
< 13 : accept (low risk of invasiveness)
13 - 15 : evaluate further
> 15 : reject (high risk of invasiveness)

Questions Answered Legend

It is important to answer at least 16 questions to consider a PRE Score as "valid".
>= 16 : valid (80% or more questions answered)
<= 15 : invalid (not enough questions answered)

Organization Ownership and Content Privacy

Organization: 2017 Farm Bill PRE Project
Content Privacy: Public
Evaluation Reviewers

The PRE approach is to base decisions on science and make decisions by consensus of diverse horticultural stakeholders. The literature review and process of answering PRE’s questions are based on science; the decisions of which plants to prioritize are based on consensus. To ensure this process is in place and that PRE is collaborative, volunteer stakeholders are recruited from each region to review evaluations. The following experts in their profession (plant science, conservation, or horticultural trade) have participated as volunteer PRE reviewers for this evaluation:

- Laura Van Riper          November 27, 2017
- Tom Buechel              November 9, 2017

This evaluation has a total of 2 reviewer(s).
Evaluation Issues

The following section lists all public issues for this evaluation. Issues provide a way for stakeholder reviewers to communicate any concerns or suggestions they might have with the plant or evaluation. Please email PlantRight@suscon.org if additional action is required to resolve open issues.

There are currently no issues associated with this evaluation.
About PRE and this Plant Evaluation Report

The PlantRight Plant Risk Evaluator -- PRE is an online database and platform enabling those involved in non-native, terrestrial plant production to know before they grow if a plant poses a regional invasive risk. This tool offers many benefits, and we encourage you to visit the PRE website (https://pre.ice.ucdavis.edu) for more information.

If you are a nursery trade association, or involved in the research, development or distribution of horticultural plants we invite you to join the PRE community. If you are a plant scientist, affiliated with a horticultural college or botanic garden, and would like to learn more about becoming a PRE Screener, please drop us an email, PlantRight@suscon.org, requesting a PRE Account.

PRE beta funding is provided by Sustainable Conservation (http://www.suscon.org/) and a USDA Farm Bill grant.